IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 05-CV-00329-GKF-SAJ
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,))
Defendants.))

SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES OF STATE OF OKLAHOMA TO SEPARATE DEFENDANT TYSON FOODS, INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED TO PLAINTIFFS

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma, ex rel. W.A. Drew Edmondson, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma, and Oklahoma Secretary of the Environment, C. Miles Tolbert, in his capacity as the Trustee for Natural Resources for the State of Oklahoma under CERCLA, (hereinafter "the State") and supplements its April 27, 2007, response to Defendant Tyson Foods, Inc's First Set of Interrogatories The State incorporates its previous response and objections to these interrogatories as if fully stated herein. Further, the State reserves the right to supplement its responses as responsive information is identified.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7: Please describe all evidence and Identify all Documents You contend support Your allegation that the Tyson Defendants caused the release of any "hazardous substance" (as that term in defined in CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § § 9601 et seq.) into any Water Body within the IRW, and in doing so, Identify each such release by specific hazardous substance, location, source, volume, time period of release, owner and/or operator of the source, generator of the hazardous substance, and the transport mechanism and pathway from the source location to the identified Water Body



The State hereby incorporates its April 27, 2007, supplemental response and objections to this Interrogatory as if stated fully herein. The previous supplemental response already provides the evidentiary basis upon which Plaintiff will prove the release of hazardous substances against Tyson and all other Defendants through circumstantial evidence.

The State does not, and need not, rely for proof of its case evidence directly documenting each individual release of a hazardous substances and tracing it directly back to Tyson. The State will prove through direct and circumstantial evidence that Tyson Defendant is legally responsible for the release of a hazardous substance under CERCLA. Poultry waste contains hazardous substances within the meaning of CERCLA. See Response to Cobb-Vantress Interrogatory No 9. Further, even if a mixture is not specifically listed as a hazardous substance, if its components include hazardous substances, the mixture is regulated by CERCLA. See, e.g., Louisiana-Pacific Corp. v. ASARCO, Inc., 24 F.3d 1565, 1573 (9th Cir. 1994).

The State has already provided its particularized sampling data, which includes the sampling results, which under CERCLA are hazardous substances, and will continue to provide additional data as it is developed. Additionally, in those circumstances in which the State will rely on the fact of release of waste at specific times and places to prove its case, it will supplement its responses with the specific, direct evidence it will use

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please identify every source of phosphorus/phosphorus compounds, nitrogen/nitrogen compounds, arsenic/arsenic compounds, zinc/zinc compounds, cooper/cooper [sic] compounds, hormones, microbial pathogens or other alleged hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants within the IRW, which you allege in the Complaint to be Related to poultry

industry operations. In doing so, please Identify each source by specific location, owner or operator, if any, and the mechanism and/or pathway for the transport of the alleged hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants from the source to any Water Body within the IRW. Also, please identify all Documents Related to such sources

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

The State hereby incorporates its April 27, 2007, supplemental response and objections to this Interrogatory as if stated fully herein. The grower files referenced in our previous response do specifically indicate where land application has occurred. The State contends that each poultry grower operation identified in the ODAFF grower files or the State's February 1, 2007, production is a source of contamination or injury to the Illinois River Watershed

INTERROGATORY NO. 10: Please describe all evidence and Identify all Documents You contend supports Your allegation that the actions or inactions of any Tyson Defendant pose a threat to the health of any person in the IRW, and in doing so, please state for each such action or inaction, the specific conduct and Tyson Defendant You contend is responsible, and describe the specific threat posed to human health

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

The State hereby incorporates its April 27, 2007, supplemental response and objections to this Interrogatory as if stated fully herein. The State also incorporates its April 27, 2007, supplemental response and objections to Tyson Chicken No 4 to this Interrogatory as if stated fully herein.

The State supplements its response to this interrogatory by referring Defendant to the following:

USGS Prepared in Cooperation with the Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Commission - Phosphorus and Nitrogen Concentrations and Loads at Illinois River South of Siloam Springs, Arkansas, 1997 – 1999. OSRC 2-10

- 3. USGS Preliminary Analysis of Phosphorus Concentrations and Fecal-Indicator Bacteria Counts at Selected Sites in the Illinois River Basin in Oklahoma, 1997-2001. OSRC Log 2-13
- 4. Coordinated Watershed and Restoration Protection Strategy for Oklahoma's Impaired Scenic Rivers (Per SB 972) 2002, 2004-2006 Update located at http://www.environment.ok.gov/.
- 5. Oklahoma's Beneficial Use Monitoring Program Final Report 1998 OSRC Log 2-15
- 6. Oklahoma's Beneficial Use Monitoring Program 1999 Final Report OSRC Log 2-16
- 7. Oklahoma's Beneficial Use Monitoring Program raw data given at the OWRB document production and located in the sliding filing cabinets.
- 8. Nelson, M, Cash, W, Trost, K, Purtle, J. (2005) Illinois River 2004 Pollutant Loads at Arkansas Highway 59 Bridge. Arkansas Water Resources Center MSC-325.
- 9. Nelson, M, Cash, W, Trost, K, Purtle, J. (2006) Illinois River 2005 Pollutant Loads at Arkansas Highway 59 Bridge Arkansas Water Resources Center MSC-332
- Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (1998 -2004), Water Quality Assessment Integrated Reports. http://www.deg.state.ok.us
- Public Water Supply reports located on the SDWIS (Public Water Supply Reports) database are too numerous to list herein, however, the State refers you to the indexes given to you at the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality which list all the public water supplies in the Illinois River watershed. Furthermore, if you simply choose one of the four counties in the watershed on the SDWIDS search page you can pull up all the public water supplies and see all their reporting and violation data.
- USGS Surface Water Data for the Illinois River Basin, Water Quantity and Quality parameters located at: http://ar.water.usgs.gov/sun/data-bin/get_data?control=multiple&group_nm=illinois
- 13. OWRB Water Quality Data Viewer, all stations in the Illinois River Watershed, including Lake Tenkiller, located at: http://www.owrb.ok.gov/maps/server/wims.php

Respectfully Submitted,

W.A Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 Attorney General Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 J. Trevor Hammons OBA #20234 Tina L. Izadi, OBA # 17978 Assistant Attorneys General State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21st St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

M. David Riggs OBA #7583 Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371

Richard T. Garren OBA #3253

Douglas A. Wilson OBA #13128

Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010

Robert A. Nance OBA #6581

D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641

Riggs, Abney, Neal, Turpen,

Orbison & Lewis

502 West Sixth Street

Tulsa, OK 74119

(918) 587-3161

James Randall Miller, OBA #6214 Louis Werner Bullock, OBA #1305 Miller Keffer & Bullock 222 S. Kenosha Tulsa, Ok 74120-2421 (918) 743-4460

David P. Page, OBA #6852 Bell Legal Group 222 S. Kenosha Tulsa, OK 74120 (918) 398-6800